

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/837,932	04/19/2001	Christine Ann Mueller	1154-01	8503	
75	90 05/07/2003				
FORREST L. COLLINS			EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BRECKSVILL	BOX 41040 E, OH 44141-0040		SILBERMAN	N, JOANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611	9	
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	1 2 11 11 11		A	
	Application No. 837932	Applicant(s) Mue	lar	- 11	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Silberm		Group Art Unit		$\mathcal{N}$
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears				Idrocc	
	on the cover sheet b	eneam me co	rrespondence ad	75/2	
Period for Response  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE 3	MONT	H(S) FROM THE	.(	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	response within the statuto	ry minimum of the from the mailing	irty (30) days will be o	considered tilication .	
Status		,	•		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03				٠.
This action is FINAL.		•	·		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is clos	sed in	
Disposition of Claims					
A Claim(s) 1, 3-14, 16-18	is/are p	ending in the appl	ication.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.			
(Claim(s) 5-3-14, 16-18	is/are re	ejected.			
☐ Claim(s)		•			
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers	•				•
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I					
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved	l <b>.</b>	•	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	to by the Examiner.			·	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> </ul>	priority documents ha	ve been			_
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT R	uie 1 7.2(a)).			
*Certified copies not received:			·		
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(	s) 🗆 In	terview Summ	ary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ N	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	<b>0</b>	ther			
Office A	ction Summary				

Application/Control Number: 09/837,932

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the void portion and the electrical light source emitter must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. If these parts are also called a "channel" and a "string of rope lights" then this must be clearly explained in the Specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoniger et al. US #5,027,258 in view of Torrence, US #4,922,384.
- 3. Schoniger et al. teach a lighting system including frame member 16 having void portion 14, electrical light source emitter 15 in the void (as best as the claims can be understood), transparent or translucent (a transparent member is also considered to be translucent) glass member 10 (acrylic glass, as described in column 4 line 13), and opaque member 19. Light source emitter 15 contacts panel 10 as in Figure 4. The

Application/Control Number: 09/837,932 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

transparent/translucent panel is held in the void (see all Figures). Frame 16 is considered to be a picture frame or a window frame. The glass member partially retains the light source within the void. The symbols (or logo) 13 are milled into the panel. The method by which the symbols are "eroded" or etched into the panel is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Schoniger et al. do not teach a particular material for the opaque member. However, glass is well known in the art of illuminated displays. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize glass since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

- 4. Regarding claim 3, the light source extends around the void.
- 5. Regarding claim 7, Schoniger et al. teach coatings (col. 4 line 30) for applying the symbols.
- 6. Schoniger et al. do not teach the opaque panel as being mirrored, however, this is well known in the art. Torrence teaches a display including rear opaque mirror 75 (Figures 9-11). Mirror 75 enhances the display. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a mirrored rear surface in the device of Schoniger et al. to create a more interesting display, as discussed in Torrence.
- 7. Schoniger at al. do not teach a rope of lights for illumination, however, this is well known in the art. Torrence teaches a lighting system including rope light 45. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a rope light

ا: الم مس الم Application/Control Number: 09/837,932

Art Unit: 3611

in the device of Schoniger et al. to provide illumination that is easy to use so that illumination can be provided around the display as desired.

## Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed January 23, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant discusses a 102(b) rejection regarding claims 1-8 and 10-18, however, no rejection was made under section 102.
- 10. Applicant argues that Schoniger et al. does not teach a reflective contrast member. The Torrence patent has been applied in response to this addition to the claims.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/837,932

Art Unit: 3611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 703-308-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Janne Silbermanr Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

js May 3, 2003